

transportation based on the travel goal;

a hotel subsystem having instructions to select hotels in a vicinity of a destination site;

activity and restaurant subsystem having instructions to select activities or restaurants near a destination site, and

ground transportation subsystem having instructions to recommend one or more modes of ground transportation to a destination site.

REMARKS

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-57 and 59 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by DeLorme et al. (U.S. Patent 5,948,040). The Examiner indicated that claim 58 is allowed.

Applicants propose amending claim 59 to include the feature of recommending one or more modes of ground transportation which is not taught or suggested by Delorme et al. The Examiner indicated that Delorme et al. do not disclose recommending a plurality of secondary modes of transportation. The proposed amendment places claim 59 in immediate condition for allowance.

Applicants do not acquiesce in the Examiner's rejection of claims 1-57 and 59 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by DeLorme et al.

DeLorme et al. disclose, in columns 51-52 and in Fig. 6, steps for determining whether intermediate stops may be added to an overall travel plan. For example, if a traveler wishes to add a stop at a concert, the system determines if the timing of the

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,
FARABOW, GARRETT,
& DUNNER, L.L.P.
1300 I STREET, N. W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20005
202-408-4000